

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/825,541	GIBSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH	2619	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH

(2) WILLIAM M. LEE Jr.

**Date of Interview:** 14 March 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

*60 and 71*

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An agreement between the Applicant and the Examiner was reached to correct a typo in claim 60 and addition of phrase -- executed by a computer-- right after "the computer program" in claim 70 line 5, via attached Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 0900

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)